

## INVESTIGATOR CHECKLIST FOR: IDE Exempt, Non-Significant Risk, or Significant Risk Device Studies

University of California San Francisco		Version	DAT	E	PAGE		
		6	10/09		1 of 2		
		o help investigators and the IRB c Exempt, Non-Significant Risk, d			igned to determine the safety or		
medical devinction including a c	<mark>vice</mark> ,‡which is "an in componentpart, or a	accessory which is:	nachine, contrivance, impla	ant, in vitro reager	nt, or other similar or related article,		
<ul> <li>interview</li> </ul>		al National Formulary, or the Unit diagnosis of disease or other cor			nt to them, or prevention of disease, in man or		
pu	rposes through che		of man or other animals an		not achieve any of its primary intended endent upon being metabolized for		
Device Name & Manufacturer:		IRE	3#:	Principal Investigator:			
Instruction	s: Start with Sectio	n A. If you are unsure whether the	e criteria are met, complete	Section B. If not n	net, continue to Section C.		
Includethis	s completed check	list in the Other Study Documents rding the device. Complete one C	section of your iRIS subm				
		dical devices, including help detern SF or e-mail: <u>FDAconsults@ucsf.e</u>		e meets the FDA d	efinition of a medical device, see		
All criteria ur apply (or if u	nsure), complete Se	tegory must be <b>Yes</b> for the device ection B.			one of the categories for exemption keted in the U.S.) immediately before		
Category #1		, when used or investigated in acc					
Category #2	Substantial Equivalence (510(k) clearance): A device introduced into commercial distribution (legally marketed in the U.S.) on or after May 28, 1976, that the <u>FDA has determined</u> to be substantially equivalent (see 510(k) clearance database <sup>i</sup> ) to a device in commercial distribution and that is used or investigated in accordance with the indications in the labeling FDA reviewed in determining substantial equivalence.						
	The device is a <b>dia</b> sequencing):	<b>gnostic device</b> <sup>iii</sup> ( <i>e.g.</i> , in vitro dia	gnostics (IVDs), testing ass	says, laboratory d	eveloped tests (LDTs), and genomic		
	The testing is <b>noninvasive</b> <sup>iv</sup> .						
Category #3	The testing does not require an invasive sampling procedure that presents significant risk.						
π3	The testing does not by design or intention introduce energy into a subject.						
	The testing is not used as a diagnostic procedure without <b>confirmation</b> <sup>vi</sup> by another, medically established productor procedure.						
0.1	The sponsor will comply with applicable (labeling) requirements in <u>21 CFR 809.10<sup>will</sup>.</u>						
Category #4	The device is undergoing consumer preference testing, testing of a modification, or testing of a combination of two or more devices in commercial distribution (legally marketed in the U.S.), and the testing is <u>not</u> for the purpose of determining safety or effectiveness and does not put subjects at risk.						
Note: Catego	ories 5 and 6 of Exe	empted Investigations (21 CFR 81	2.2) do not apply to human	research and are	therefore omitted here.		
Category #7	The device is a	a <b>custom device</b> viii, unless the de	evice is being used to deter	mine safety or effe	ctiveness for commercial distribution.		
		e exempt categories are met, STC exemption, continue to Section B.	OP here. Include the ratio	nale for exemption	on below. If none of the categories is		
Protocol-s	pecific rationale for	why the device meets the above	IDE Exemptcriteria:				

UCSF	
University of California	

## INVESTIGATOR CHECKLIST FOR:

IDE Exempt, Non-Significant Risk, or Significant Risk Device Studies						
University of California	Version	DATE	PAGE			
San Francisco	6	10/09/18	2 of 2			
B. NON-SIGNIFICANT RISK (	NSR) ix DEVICE STUDY = ABBREVIAT	ED IDE. Check if Yes.				
Non-Significant Risk Dev	vice: meets none of the criteria below for	C. Significant Risk Device.				
Protocol-specific rationale for	or why the device does NOT meet any of	the Significant Risk criteria (refer to Sec	ction C criteria):			
	-	-				
If you a of the Claus Board Diele D						
	Device Study criteria are met, the IRB can eviated IDE ( <u>21 CFR812.2(b)</u> ). If the IRB					
	DE in the Other Study Documents section		n ide application to FDA istequileu.			
	,	5				
C. SIGNIFICANT RISK DEVIC	<b>ESTUDY.</b> Check if Yes. If the IRB or FE	OA agree the study is significant risk, an	IDE application to FDA is required.			
	DE in the Other Study Documents section					
	Ix and presents a potential for serious ris					
	ted to be for a use in supporting or sustai	ning human life and presents a potentia	al for serious risk to the health, safety,			
or welfare of a subject.						
	l importance in diagnosing, curing, mitiga		reventing impairment of human health			
and presents a potential	for serious risk to the health, safety, or w	velfare of a subject.				
Otherwise presents a po	tential for serious risk to the health, safet	y, or welfare of a subject.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Medical device definition: https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Overview/ClassifyYourDevice/ucm051512.htm

- In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Device Studies Frequently Asked Questions: To determine whether an invasive sampling technique presents a serious risk, we recommend that you base your risk determination on the nature of the harm that may result from sampling. For example, FDA considers sampling techniques that require biopsy of a major organ, use of general anesthesia, or placement of a blood access line into an artery or large vein (subclavian, femoral, or iliac) to present a significant risk.
- In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Device Studies Frequently Asked Questions: To be exempt under 21 CFR 812.2(c)(3), clinical investigators must use a medically established means of diagnosis (e.g., another cleared or approved IVD or culture) of the disease or condition as the basis for decisions regarding treatment of all subjects participating in the study. 21 CFR 812.2(c)(3)(iv). Additionally, test results from the exempt IVD investigation should not influence patient treatment or clinical management decisions before the diagnosis is established by a medically established product or procedure.

If an investigational test uses a new technology or represents a significant technological advance, established diagnostic products or procedures may not be adequate to confirm the diagnosis provided by the investigational IVD. For example, if an investigational test is designed to identify an infection at the earliest stages of viral infection (before formation of antibodies), established diagnostic products or procedures that rely on the detection of antibodies to the virus would be inadequate to confirm diagnoses. Under these conditions the study would not meet the criteria for exemption under 812.2(c)(3) since the testing could not be confirmed with a medically established diagnostic product or procedure. You may consider whether the device is a non-significant risk device subject to abbreviated IDE requirements (21 CFR 812.2(b)).

- i 21 CFR 809.10 Labeling for in vitro diagnostic products.
- To be considered a custom device, all of the criteria at section 520(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act must be met, which are summarized below: (1) It necessarily deviates from devices generally available or from an applicable performance standard or premarket approval requirement in order to comply with the order of an individual physician or dentist;
  - (2) The device is not generally available to, or generally used by, other physicians or dentists;
  - (3) It is not generally available in finished form for purchase or for dispensing upon prescription;
  - (4) It is not offered for commercial distribution through labeling or advertising; and
  - (5) It is intended for use by an individual patient named in the order form of a physician or dentist, and is to be made in a specific form for that patient, or is intended to meet the special needs of the physician or dentist in the course of professional practice (such as a particular operating tool).

\* See <u>UCSF Guidance on Significant vs. Non-Significant Devices</u> and/or <u>FDA Information Sheet Guidance on Significant and Non-Significant Risk Medical Device Studies</u> for additional information and examples.

\* An implant is a device that is placed into a surgically or naturally formed cavity of the human body and is intended to remain there for a period of 30 days or more. In order to protect public health, FDA may determine that devices placed in subjects for shorter periods are also implants. <u>https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDevice/InvestigationalDeviceExemptionIDE/ucm046698.htm</u> An implant is a device that is placed into a surgically or naturally formed cavity of the human body and is intended to remain there for a period of 30 days or more. In order to protect public health, FDA may

determine that devices placed in subjects for shorter periods are also implants. https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDevice/InvestigationalDeviceExemptionIDE/ucm046698.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> Searchable 510(k) database: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm

For diagnostic devices, even if a test is validated for CLIA purposes, the FDA issues are evaluated separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 21 CFR 812.3 (k) *Noninvasive*, when applied to a diagnostic device or procedure, means one that does not by design or intention: (1) Penetrate or pierce the skin or mucous membranes of the body, the ocular cavity, or the urethra, or (2) enter the ear beyond the external auditory canal, the nose beyond the nares, the mouth beyond the pharynx, the anal canal beyond the rectum, or the vagina beyond the cervical os. For purposes of this part, blood sampling that involves simple venipuncture is considered noninvasive, and the use of surplus samples of body fluids or tissues that are left over from samples taken for noninvestigational purposes is also considered noninvasive. <u>21 CFR 812.3(k)</u>